

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/600,469		FRANKIEWICZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thomas M. Lithgow		1724	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr. Johnson.

**Date of Interview:** 17 May 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1-16, 17 and 25*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Amend claims to clarify that the small bubbles remain in the mixture as consistent with applicant's arguments at page 16 of his response as to why the instant claims define over Broussard (US 5407584).*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**THOMAS M. LITHGOW**  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SP's Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)